

Chairman Tony Sandora: Good evening ladies and gentlemen welcome to the North Royalton **Planning Commission** meeting for **Wednesday, December 1, 2010**. This is the **Public Hearing** portion. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll;

Present: Chairman Sandora, Mayor Stefanik, Don Willey,  
Mike McCarthy, Victor Bull, Law Director Tom Kelly,  
Assistant City Engineer Dan Collins, Building Commissioner Rito Alvarez,  
Secretary Julie Broestl

Chairman Sandora: Please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

Audience recited the Pledge.

**Public Hearing:**

**Ordinance No. 10-131** – An Ordinance amending the Codified Ordinances of the City of North Royalton, Part Twelve Planning and Zoning Code, Chapter 1220 Planning Commission, Section 1220.06 Matters to be Considered, by deleting Paragraph (d) Location of Utilities, and Declaring on Emergency.

Chairman Sandora: Thank you Mrs. Broestl. You are Building and Building Codes aren't you Paul?

Paul Marnecheck said yes from the audience.

Chairman Sandora: You are the Councilman so do you want to bring this up?

Paul Marnecheck approached the microphone.

Paul Marnecheck: Good evening. My name is Paul Marnecheck, I live at 7348 Julia Drive, and I'm the current Ward 4 Councilman and Chairman of Building and Building Codes. I just wanted everyone to know that this will be discussed at the next Building and Building Codes meeting. It is tentatively scheduled for the third Monday in January. I say tentatively because Council might reorganize or might move meetings, but if we don't it will be the third Monday in January at 7:00 PM. Rito Alvarez, I was going to talk to him afterwards and ask him to kind of give me an idea on how this will impact the City. Also, how much work has been done in this matter, that's being discussed with the overhead. Just to give me and the committee an idea of what we are talking about here in terms of how much activity happens in the City.

Chairman Sandora: Thank you.

Paul Marnecheck: You're welcome.

Chairman Sandora: Anybody else in the audience have anything on this? Make a motion to refer this to the regular order of business.

Moved by Tony Sandora, seconded by Don Willey, **to move Ordinance No. 10-131 to the regular order of business.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded to move to the regular order of business.

Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Mike McCarthy:	Yes
Victor Bull:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik	Yes
Don Willey:	Yes
Chairman Sandora:	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**  
**Motion carried. (5-0)**

**Ordinance No. 10-144** – An Ordinance amending the Codified Ordinances of the City of North Royalton, Part Twelve, Planning and Zoning Code, Chapter 1276 Business Districts, Section 1276.03 Use Regulations for Local Business Districts, Paragraph (a)(1), and Declaring an Emergency.

Chairman Sandora: Mr. Alvarez would you please speak on this?

Rito Alvarez: Mr. Chairman. What we are looking at here is the idea of a medical hospital being humans or animals, it is still a medical hospital. In Local Business right now we don't have provisions for veterinarian animal hospitals, and this piece of legislation will help allow animal hospitals in Local Business and will not allow them to have kennels or boarding houses or animal housed on the premise except for medical purposes only not to exceed 72 hours. I think it is a good piece of legislation. It complaints and fits in Local Business, and that is pretty much it Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Sandora: Thank you Mr. Alvarez. Anyone else on the Board?

Don Willey: Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Sandora: Mr. Willey.

Don Willey: The amended legislation that we received tonight says that the stay can not exceed 72 hours, is that going be, and we don't have a vet here, but could that be somewhat restricted, that is only 3 days. If someone goes in

on a Thursday and has a surgery and has to stay the weekend or whatever the situation may be. I wonder if the 72 hours wouldn't be somewhat restrictive for a full recovery.

Tom Kelly: Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Sandora: Mr. Kelly.

Tom Kelly: In response to Mr. Willey's point, the 72 hour figure was arbitrary. We were trying to get some kind of response from Dr. Hechko on the matter and we didn't hear back from him. So, rather than leaving it unattended Mrs. Vozar and I suggest the 72 hours. It is arbitrary. It's subject to your approval and subject to Councils change. We were trying to get an expert opinion on the subject and didn't hear back from the appropriate party.

Chairman Sandora: What do you think about the time. A week?

Don Willey: I don't know if we should restrict it. You know with surgery it is amazing what they can do with animals today. They have hip surgery, and back surgery, and all that, and sometimes the recovery time is pretty extensive. I met a gal in Las Vegas and had a dog that had hip surgery and it was in the hospital for 15-days.

Chairman Sandora: Mr. Kelly, when you guys discussed this, would this relate to surgery or what about if somebody just wanted to board their animal there at the hospital? Are you saying that they would only be able to board it for 72 hours too?

Tom Kelly: No sir. First of all boarding is tantamount to kenneling, and kenneling is prohibited.

Dr. Hechko's case might be different as we discussed in caucus. But generally speaking this would be for medical attention, whether from surgery or illness or injury or whatever have you.

Chairman Sandora: Okay. I just wanted that for the record.

Tom Kelly: That was our intent at least in crafting it the way that we did.

Chairman Sandora: Thank you. Mr. Willey.

Don Willey: Did we conclude anything? Is it necessary to put a time limit on it?

Victor Bull: Mr. Chairman. Could we leave that to the veterinarian discretion, because he would know what was medically necessary, or he or she would know what was medically necessary?

Chairman Sandora: That would be a good point. I don't know if the legislation could be amended that way up to the veterinarian's discretion?

Tom Kelly: Sure. You could do it that way. You could have it read for argument sake; except for medical purposes and only to the extent as medically necessary. You can do that. Our concern was that if you left it wide open you might end up with kenneling without it being called kenneling.

Chairman Sandora: Under the regular order why don't you bring that up and then we will make that recommendation or amendment. Anybody else have anything? Make a motion to refer 10-144 to the regular order of business.

Moved by Don Willey, seconded by Mayor Stefanik, to **move 10-144 to the regular order of business.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Victor Bull:	Yes.
Mike McCarthy:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik	Yes
Don Willey:	Yes
Chairman Sandora:	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0)**

Chairman Sandora: Can I have a motion to adjourn the Public Hearing?

Moved by Don Willey, seconded by Victor Bull, **to adjourn the Public Hearing.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded to adjourn the Public Hearing.

Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Mayor Stefanik	Yes
Victor Bull:	Yes
Mike McCarthy:	Yes

Don Willey:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**  
**Motion carried. (5-0)**

**The Public Hearing was adjourned at 7:13 PM**

Chairman Tony Sandora: I would like to call the **Regular Meeting** to order for the North Royalton **Planning Commission** meeting for **Wednesday, December 1, 2010**. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll;

Present: Chairman Sandora, Mayor Stefanik, Don Willey,  
Mike McCarthy, Victor Bull, Law Director Tom Kelly,  
Assistant City Engineer Dan Collins, Building Commissioner Rito Alvarez,  
Secretary Julie Broestl

Julie Broestl: I need a motion and a second to approve the minutes of November 10, 2010.

Moved by Don Willey, seconded by Victor Bull, to **approve the minutes of the November 10, 2010**.

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded to approve the minutes. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Victor Bull:	Yes
Mike McCarthy:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik	Yes
Don Willey:	Yes
Chairman Sandora:	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0) - Minutes approved for November 10, 2010.**

Chairman Sandora: The minutes are approved.

### **Old Business**

Julie Broestl: On Woodcroft Glen, I will need a 90-day extension.

Chairman Sandora: It is tabled.

Moved by Chairman Sandora, seconded by Don Willey, **to approve a 90 day extension on Woodcroft Glen**.

Chairman Sandora: Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Victor Bull:	Yes
Mike McCarthy:	Yes
Don Willey:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik	Yes
Chairman Sandora:	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0) - 90-day extension approved.**

Julie Broestl: North Royalton Animal Hospital is tabled tonight, but I will need a 90-day extension for that.

Chairman Sandora: Can we have a motion and a second.

Moved by Mayor Stefanik, seconded by Victor Bull, **to approve a 90-day extension for the North Royalton Animal Hospital.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded for a 90-day extension for the North Royalton Animal Hospital, and we are doing this because of the fact that their time limit is up on December 22, 2010, and we just want to make sure that they will be here for the next meeting.

It has been moved and seconded. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Mayor Stefanik     Yes  
Victor Bull:         Yes  
Mike McCarthy:    Yes  
Don Willey:         Yes  
Chairman Sandora:    Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0) - 90-day extension approved for the North Royalton Animal Hospital.**

Julie Broestl: Ordinance No. 10-105 is tabled and I will need a 90-day extension on this too.

Moved by Chairman Sandora, seconded by Victor Bull, **to approve a 90-day extension for Ordinance No. 10-105.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Mayor Stefanik     Yes  
Mike McCarthy:    Yes  
Victor Bull:         Yes  
Don Willey:         Yes  
Chairman Sandora:    Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0) - 90-day extension approved for Ordinance No. 10-105.**

**Sunshine Diversified/Tom Unik**, Site Plan Approval for a lot split and consolidation.

**Tabled. No action.**

### **New Business**

**Ordinance No. 10-131**– An Ordinance amending the Codified Ordinances of the City of North Royalton, Part Twelve Planning and Zoning Code, Chapter 1220 Planning Commission, Section 1220.06 Matters to be Considered, by deleting Paragraph (d) Location of Utilities, and Declaring on Emergency.

Chairman Sandora: Thank you. Ordinance No. 10-131, I would like to do a discussion on this at least tonight and all Board members can voice their opinions on it. Again, like in caucus, I stated I'm only one person but I'm not really thrilled with this. I think it is an injustice to the residents of the City. I think utilities need to come before Planning. There are always people up here voicing their concerns and to see what's going on. That is my biggest problem with this. It should have probably have gone to the Building and Building Codes at the time they were discussing this so that everyone was on board with it. I know that there are going to be some changes with this, but this was mainly my biggest concern over here. I don't see how we can allow a utility company to come in and do what they want to do and not have any notification to the people that they are doing the work on their property. Like I said in caucus, how would anyone of us like to wake up one morning and see a half of dozen trucks out there. Or come home and see your yard torn up. Everyone thinks it is the City that is digging on your property that is the first thing. So, the phone rings off the hook at the Building Department. Without any notification they are unaware of it. We have seen time and time again up here where they are concerned about 'what are you going to do to our trees or my bushes?' At least it would be in writing. It is on paper here and a record of it. Once it goes down to the Building Department to get a permit to do something and whatever they have to do on this property and if the homeowner asks them what is going on, those people aren't going to tell them. They will not say anything to them. That is my biggest concern. Does anybody else have anything that they want to say?

Don Willey: Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Sandora: Mr. Willey.

Don Willey: Mr. Marnecheck is here and probably remembers, I believe I brought it up along that same spirit, that should we approve this that we collectively, I guess, we would want our residents to be notified in advanced of any work going on. I think that as we notify with Public Hearings we notify the required residents and I believe it would satisfy the residents that Ohio Bell, AT & T, or whoever it is, out there digging up their tree lawn they would be aware of it. That was the reservation that I also had. I believe, and Mr. Marnecheck can correct me, that Mr. Schmitzer indicated to us that they could be notified or would be notified?

Paul Marnecheck approached the microphone.

Paul Marnecheck: Mr. Willey, I believe that you are correct. Mark was at the meeting and he said that it is possible to have those individuals be notified. We have to put that in with anything we pass.

Chairman Sandora: Anybody else on the Board have anything?

Dan Collins: Mr. Chairman, if I may?

Chairman Sandora: Dan.

Dan Collins: Just a couple of comments on this for the members of the commission. I think first off the term 'location of utilities' should be tightened up a bit. What distinguishes location of utilities when a company is going to come in and extend vs. if there is maintenance on a utility that they are just going to come in and get a permit to relocate the utility because something might be wrong with the utility. I know I'm getting technical but theoretically that is what's going on here. I hear this term floating around here tonight, people's property, there is a difference between right of way and private property. On private property is typically anywhere from 8-foot to 15-foot easements that dedicated for public utilities franchise utilities, such as, cable, phone, electric and gas. A lot of utilities we see coming to these Planning Commission meetings are within the right of way. As the Mayor had mentioned in caucus these AT & T freezer boxes, if you would, these seem like the projects that have been coming to the commission along with some Columbia Gas extensions. From my experiences in other municipalities typically when a franchise utility comes in it is a service for residents that really isn't like a miracle thing. It is a necessity that most of the residents want. Most residents are requesting natural gas to their house or internet services or so. I always thought that if someone was going to dig on my property, whether it is the City or public utility, being my property, not the right of way, they have to notify me within so many hours of the dig, otherwise it is trespassing. Even if they do

have an easement dedicated on their property, they still have to notify you. So, if these companies are not sending out mailers to these residents that they are going to be digging in their front yard, if you ask me that makes room for trouble right there.

But if they are doing work in the public right of way, as a courtesy to the residents they should be notified perhaps by the City or the administration or to make it easier part of the permit process would be that the utility company has to prove that they have submitted these notices within 48 hours or a reasonable time to the residents that they will be digging within the public right of way, from the time to end and it will be restored accordingly. This Ordinance was introduced to really speed up the process with the franchise utilities again, that is phone, gas, and cable. When it comes to water main, sanitary or storm, which are highly under the City's ownership, besides Cleveland Water of course, but we still monitor the installation. Those extensions now should come before this commission and that is an important utility that the residents should know about. When it is AT & T box coming in or Columbia Gas, I don't come to a lot of these meetings but with talking to Mark, there are not a whole lot of residents that come in to the meetings battling against the utilities coming in. But I can't agree with you more, Mr. Chairman, it is important for residents to know that there is something being done in the front or on the private property section. They should be notified either way. The Mayor eluded too that one of the other communities that I'm involved had a right of way moratorium put in effect for a while and it was mainly geared at the time towards AT& T light speed project. They were making permits for various freezer boxes and they are kind of hideous and could be controversial at times, and those projects never went in front of a Planning Commission. But this Ordinance was created so that the Engineering department had full management over the right of way basically. I probably sat through about ten hours with attorneys with A T & T, East Ohio and CEI, and they were against a lot of things in this Ordinance because it puts these franchise utilities on a pedestal to really provide us with this information that they usually don't want to give out because there are trade secrets involved and they kept claiming that they are governed by the PUCO, which the City has their own rules and have their own set of bi-laws, so, we had every right to mandate what is required in our right of way. Perhaps maybe that is an option too, that we could go and do. But that is pretty much what I wanted to address and you can carry on and I would be happy to address any questions for you.

Chairman Sandora: Thank you Mr. Collins. Anyone else on the Board want to say anything?

Mayor Stefanik: Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Sandora: Mayor

Mayor Stefanik: Just briefly, the intent of this legislation was not to let the utility companies do what they want to do, it's a work in progress and there is some fine tuning we need with this legislation. At the end of the day if we somehow change the notification for the residents, at times the utilities come in and get their permit and they really don't do anything for two or three months and then one day they do show up and we still get those phone calls. Maybe if we could somehow incorporate into the legislation that within one week of commencing the work they would need to notify the residents within 100 or 200 feet, whatever is a fair number, I think that might serve our residents better. In addition to working with AT & T the past couple of years and Kevin Lynch, people from AT & T were coming up here almost every other meeting for one box here, one box there, but what we asked them to do for their next phase was present us with their master plan for that phase whether it is 10 or 20 boxes so that we can look at it early on and we can give them our comments at that point in conjunction with some new legislation that North Olmsted adopted for screening these and buffering and putting the maintenance on somebody whether it's the utility company or the resident that might be stuck with one of these boxes in their front yard. There is still a quite a bit of work to do on this. I think it is a good starting point and it is good that we are having these discussions this evening. Thank you.

Chairman Sandora: Thank you Mayor. I think that's a good idea if they could bundle them together to come before this Board at one time. I keep hearing this streamline, streamline, and yet it really bothers me when we are streamlining for these people to come in and you just said it, because they come here today and then they don't start something for three months, but yet they want us to move quickly. Anybody else on the Board? Anyone in the audience have anything?

Dan Collins: One more thing Mr. Chairman. The last time that a utility was in front of you it have been those two Columbia Gas line extensions, they were calling me probably every day to see if they could hurry up and get this approved and apparently we couldn't do anything and they didn't want to pay for a special meeting and all this was was a homeowner that wanted gas extended to their house. It was about 200-feet or so, the other was even less I think, and they had to appear here in front of the Planning Commission. That lady said that all the other communities that we are involved with we don't have to do this. We said we don't want to be compared with another community, but unfortunately for you and the situation this is what is required right now. This shows that the resident really wanted the extension and they lost about two or three months because the utility company was unprepared to

submit these drawings and make the deadlines for our meetings. I just wanted to share that with you.

Chairman Sandora: Well they still have to submit their drawings, they still have to submit everything they want to do. Just because they had to come to a meeting. When they were here there was at least eight people that showed up to voice their opinions on that also. Anybody else?

Mike McCarthy: Mr. Chairman. I just want to echo the key phrase everyone has brought up is that there is some mechanism that is clear for notification, as well as the language of what's being discussed to make sure the distinction is clear between what is public and what is considered a private utility. As there is sometimes interchangeable terms depending on who's doing the talking and the listening, and as Dan even mentioned, franchise utilities, private public, just making sure that it is cleared that those are defined those two specific terms.

Chairman Sandora: Thank you Mr. McCarthy. Anyone else?

Don Willey: Mr. Chairman. I have a question; when you say franchised utility those are all utilities governed by the PUCL. So, if the ABC Oil Company wants to run an oil line, or a gas line, or a gas line connection, they are not at liberty to use the right of way, am I correct on that?

Dan Collins: Well, I think in the past or just recently we allowed them go within the right of way which was against my thoughts, but apparently they are allowed to go within the right of way...

Don Willey: But they came or got permission from this body as well as Council as a whole.

Chairman Sandora: Right. They came before the Planning Commission. You are talking about the get go that they put down along Wallings Road?

Mayor Stefanik: No, No Mr. Chairman.

Don Willey: No. That was Dominion Gas.

Mayor Stefanik: No. That was not just XYZ Company it was Dominion.

Don Willey: Since we have time I will bring this up; there was some discussion about allowing these drillers that may have to go a couple thousand feet to a transmission line to have access in our right of ways.

Mayor Stefanik: Mr. Chairman. We did discuss that and I think we, at least most of Council, was against that. The majority was against doing that, allowing that to happen. Now, on private property if they want to go from one well to another well, between five yards, we can't do anything about that. They would have to come up here I'd imagine.

Don Willey: This legislation wouldn't extend any additional privileges to those folks, correct?

Councilman Willey That's my concern. That is one of the things that I was concerned about also. If they are going to run a transmission line down 500 feet, 1000 feet, or whatever, and are going across everybody's property. then are you saying that they don't have to come before us?

Don Willey: You mean like Dominion or Columbia?

Chairman Sandora: No. Like Cutter Oil.

Don Willey: I'm saying that they should.

Chairman Sandora: Right. That is what has to be worked out and that's why we are discussing this tonight. That is why this should have been brought up in Building and Building Codes when it was placed in there so that everybody could vent and talk about this so that everybody is on board with this, because I'll tell you what, your phone is going to ring off the hook, not mine Mr. Councilman.

Tom Kelly: Mr. Chairman. The goodly number of expressions of opinions on this legislation is reflective of the fact that it is in fact a work in progress and a lot of work needs yet to be done on it. Keeping that in mind, certainly I will carry back, and I know Mr. Willey will carry back, and Mr. Marnecheck here in the audience will carry back, to the Council and Building and Building Codes all of the concerns that are expressed tonight with regard to the over site, screening, notification issues, all that we will try to address for you in amendments and it will come back to you. It would be our request tonight that the Commission table this matter for review.

Chairman Sandora: That's why in caucus I asked the Mayor would he consider withdrawing this and just come back with a hole new piece, but we can table this and you can tear this apart and amend it or whatever way is the easiest. Anybody else.

Moved by Chairman Tony Sandora, seconded by Don Willey, **to table Ordinance No. 10-131.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Victor Bull:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik:	Yes
Mike McCarthy:	Yes
Don Willey:	Yes
Chairman Sandora:	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0) - Ordinance No.10-131 Tabled.**

**Ordinance 10-144** – An Ordinance amending the Codified Ordinances of the City of North Royalton, Part Twelve Planning and Zoning Code, Chapter 1276 Business Districts, Section 1276.03 Use Regulations for Local Business Districts, Paragraph (a)(1), and Declaring an Emergency.

Chairman Sandora: Mr. Alvarez would you please run this by us.

Rito Alvarez: Mr. Chairman, again as was previously said. Currently Local Business allows medical businesses and offices and doctors. Animal hospital, I believe, is also medical business. You have four legged patients instead of two legged. Some people think their pets are their children. I don't see a problem with veterinarian medicine in animal hospitals being amended and being allowed into Local Business. I also don't see a problem where we shouldn't have kennels or boarding houses or animals housed on the premises, as well. That would not be the case. A good point was made concerning the time limit of 72 hours. In looking at that you are almost saying that the City is over-riding the medical doctor's opinion as to how long a patient should be in the hospital. That should be looked at and amending it.

Chairman Sandora: Thank you Mr. Alvarez.

Tom Kelly: Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Sandora: Mr. Kelly, you did such an eloquent job on that.

Tom Kelly: If you would allow Mr. Chairman. Bearing in mind that there seems to be some unanimity of view on the matter. **I would suggest an amendment to the amending language to add the word 'and' between purposes and only. Strike the words 'not to exceed 72 hours', and insert**

**in place there of ‘to the extent medically necessary’, so that it reads now ‘except for medical purposes and only to the extent medically necessary’.**

Chairman Sandora: Okay. Does anybody else have any other comments on this?

Moved by Chairman Tony Sandora, seconded by Mayor Stefanik, to **amend Ordinance No. 10-144 as Mr. Kelly suggested above.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded to amend 10-144 as discussed. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Mike McCarthy:	Yes
Don Willey:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik:	Yes
Victor Bull:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik:	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0) – Ordinance 10-144 approved amendments above.**

Chairman Sandora: I need motion to recommend to Council the approval of Ordinance 10-144 as amended. (Above)

Moved by Don Willey, seconded by Victor Bull, **to recommend to council the approval of 10-144 as amended.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Victor Bull:	Yes
Don Willey:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik:	Yes
Mike McCarthy:	Yes
Chairman Sandora:	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0) – Ordinance 10-144 approval with amendments to Council**

Chairman Sandora: Under miscellaneous we have to approve next years schedule for 2011. Does anybody wish to make changes? Everyone pretty much okay with it at this time?

Don Willey: The only meeting that I would change, I probably won't be here, is on April 20<sup>th</sup>.

Julie Broestl: Also the December meeting should be changed.

Chairman Sandora: Yes. On the schedule we are changing the December meeting to December 14, 2011. So, it will be December 7<sup>th</sup> and December 14<sup>th</sup>. That will be on the new schedule that will be in your mailboxes. Does anyone have anything else to say? I need a motion to approve the schedule for 2011.

Moved by Don Willey, seconded by Mayor Stefanik, to **approve the Planning Commission schedule for 2011.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Mike McCarthy:	Yes
Mayor Stefanik:	Yes
Victor Bull:	Yes
Don Willey:	Yes
Chairman Sandora:	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0) - Planning Commission 2011 Schedule is approved.**

Chairman Sandora: Anything else? Motion to adjourn.

Moved by Chairman Tony Sandora, seconded by Mayor Stefanik, **to adjourn the Planning Commission meeting of December 1, 2010.**

Chairman Sandora: It has been moved and seconded to adjourn. Mrs. Broestl, please call the roll.

Mayor Stefanik:	Yes
Victor Bull:	Yes
Don Willey:	Yes
Mike McCarthy:	Yes
Chairman Sandora:	Yes

**Yeas - all. Nays - none.**

**Motion carried. (5-0) - Meeting adjourned at 7:39 PM.**

**Approved:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Chairman Tony Sandora**

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Attest:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Secretary Julie Broestl**